IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

WRIT PETITION NO. 428 OF 2004

GOA M.R.F. EMPLOYEES UNION REP.,ITS WORKMEN,PONDA. ....Petitioners

Versus

M/S M.R.F. LTD.,AND 2 ORS., ...Respondents

Shri Valmiki Menezes, Advocate for the petitioner.

Shri G. K. Sardessai, Advocate for the respondent No.1.

Shri Rohit Bras De Sa, Advocate for the respondent No.2.

Coram:- R. M. LODHA, J.

Date:- 25th August, 2005

P.C.

Heard Mr. Valmiki Menezes, learned Counsel for the petitioner.

2. I perused the Order dated 31.1.2003 and the Order dated 9.6.2004 passed by the Industrial Tribunal, Panaji. By its order dated 31.1.2003, the Industrial Tribunal held that the name of M/s. M.R.F. Ltd., described as Party II (1) in the proceedings is deleted. An application for review was made by the present petitioner for review of the order dated 31.1.2003. The Tribunal did not find any justifiable ground for reviewing the order and consequently dismissed the reveiw application.

3. The order of the Tribunal in directing deletion of the name of M/s. M.R.F. Ltd., as Party II (1) cannot be said to suffer from any error of law or jurisdiction. Obviously the order dated 31.1.2003 being in conformity with the legal position, there was no question of allowing the review application moved by the present petitioner.

4. The review application, therefore, was rightly dismissed.

5. No case for invocation of writ jurisdiction is made out in this writ petition. Dismissed in limine.

R. M. LODHA, J.